



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*Patricia Lewis*

In re application of:

Arneson *et al.*

Appl. No. 09/496,960

Filed: February 3, 2000

For: **Automated Real-Time Distributed  
Tag Reader Network**

Confirmation No.: 6909

Art Unit: 3622

Examiner: James W. Myhre

Atty. Docket: 1689.0010002

*#7/Q*  
*2-12-02*

**Amendment And Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**RECEIVED**  
FEB 03 2003  
**GROUP 3600**

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated **July 29, 2002**, (PTO Prosecution File Wrapper Paper No. 5), Applicants submit the following Amendment and Remarks. This Amendment is provided in the following format:

- (A) A clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim along with clear instructions for entry;
- (B) Starting on a separate page, appropriate remarks and arguments. 37 C.F.R. § 1.121 and MPEP 714; and
- (C) Starting on a separate page, a marked-up version entitled: "Version with markings to show changes made."

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R.

*OK*